Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,291	ADKISSON ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAI-MING CHAN	2416
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) SAI-MING CHAN.	(3)	
(2) Shreen Danamraj.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 February 2009	Time: 4:30	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli	cant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No		

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agreed to file a terminal disclaimer over patent number 7.490.357 and modified claimes 1, 10 and 13.

Part III.

- ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance

 | Value | Va
- of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Seema S. Rao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416 /Saï-Ming Chan/ Examiner Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)